

ROOSEVELT IN THE RING

Declares Senator La Follette, who was a Caller at Sagamore Hill, Monday.

SPECULATION IS KEENER THAN EVER

One Rumor is that the "Insurgents" Have Come and Seen But Did Not Go Away Conquerors—Other Out-and-Out Insurgents Booked to Meet the Ex-President This Week After His Return from Boston.

Oyster Bay, N. Y., June 27.—Robert M. La Follette, United States senator from Wisconsin, and the father of the republican insurgency, spent two hours this afternoon with Theodore Roosevelt, talking politics. He left Oyster Bay wearing a broad smile.

Other Insurgents Booked to Talk Politics.

Senator Elmer Burkett of Nebraska, another out-and-out insurgent, is coming to Sagamore Hill after Colonel Roosevelt returns from Boston. He, too, will talk politics. Representative Madison of Kansas, irreconcilable insurgent and ardent defender of Gifford Pinchot as a member of the Ballinger-Pinchot congressional investigation committee, will be at Sagamore Hill, probably late this week. His theme will be politics.

Within the last few days Colonel Roosevelt has interviewed with Gifford Pinchot and his ally, James R. Garfield.

Senator La Follette's Experience at Sagamore Hill.

Senator La Follette arrived early in the afternoon. He had with him G. E. Roe, a New York lawyer, who was formerly his law partner. Colonel Roosevelt's chauffeur was waiting for them and wished them away to Sagamore Hill. The senator had pulled his hat down over his eyes, and tried to escape unseen. But he was caught fairly at it by a group of newspaper men who saw the Roosevelt automobile. They tagged him on suspicion, although, no doubt, they were looking for his hat and his famous pompadour.

"Not a word," he said, "I'm going to Sagamore Hill. I don't want a word said about it."

When he returned, just in time to catch a train for New York, he was smiling his most expansive, persuasive smile.

"It's all right, boys," he cried joyfully. "The colonel says I may talk to you."

TENDERS HIS RESIGNATION

OWING TO ILL-HEALTH.

Sir Casper Purdon Clarke, Director of the Metropolitan Museum of Art.

New York, June 27.—Sir Casper Purdon Clarke, for five years director of the Metropolitan Museum of Art, tendered his resignation today, and it was accepted by the trustees. He resigns because his health is poor and his physicians have advised him to spend another winter in New York city. At present he is abroad.

In accepting the resignation, the trustees, J. Pierpont Morgan, president, adopted a resolution of condolence for a year and that he shall receive a salary of \$5,000 a year for life.

He stated that he held the position of honorary European correspondent of the institution.

Sir Casper is 54 years old and one of the best known art critics in the world. Before coming to the States he was director of the famous South Kensington museum in London. His successor here has not been chosen.

RELIGIOUS RIOTS IN SPAIN.

Vatican's Latest Note Regarded as an Ultimatum.

Madrid, June 27.—The Vatican's latest note to the Spanish government is regarded as an ultimatum. It insists upon the withdrawal of the de facto of June 11 as condition precedent to the continuation of the negotiations over the revision of the concordat.

The republicans and radicals are organizing throughout Spain counter demonstrations against the Catholic action.

Bilbao, Spain, June 27.—Republicans who were making an anti-Catholic demonstration today and rioting followed. The police intervened, but before the fight was stopped one man had been killed and many others injured.

Ran Sebastian, Spain, June 27.—A religious riot took place before the quarters of the Basque club today and shots were exchanged. Municipal guards charged, but were killed, killing one man and wounding seven others.

FOUGHT THREE CORNERED DUEL.

One Escaped Unhurt and Hopes to

Marry Girl They Fought For.

Kingston, N. Y., June 27.—Three Italian laborers in the Catskill aqueduct at High Falls, entered for a preliminary hearing before Judge Van Hook today by a three-cornered duel. They fought yesterday with knives. Michael Rusina may die from his wounds. Frank Sikoski was badly disfigured. Frank Holuka escaped unhurt, and expects to marry the girl if he escapes prison. He is held now on a charge of assault.

THE TROOPS AT NANTIC.

Dates on Which the Governor Will In-

spect the Men.

Hartford, Conn., June 27.—Adjutant General George M. Cole tonight announced the dates the governor will inspect the troops at Nantic. The Second Infantry, Tenth Cavalry, and First Separate company will be inspected on July 13-14; the First Infantry, Battery A and First Company Signal Corps on July 20-21; the Coast Artillery at Fort Wright, N. Y., on July 23d.

Steamship Arrivals.

At Rotterdam: June 25, Russia, from New York.

At Antwerp: June 26, Lapland, from New York.

At Christiansand: June 27, C. F. Tegner, from New York.

At Naples: June 22, Duca di Genova, from New York.

At Genoa: June 24, de Italia, from New York.

At Malta: June 26, Patris, from New York.

At Bremen: June 27, Bremen, from New York.

At Cherbourg: June 27, Kronprinz, from New York.

At Cebu: June 27, Cecile, from New York.

Cabled Paragraphs

Sydney, N. S. W., June 27.—The Mac-

Arthur, the German steamer, was wrecked in the south and along the coast have done much damage. Several vessels have been wrecked. The German steamer Irmingard is a sister of Corral and is believed to have total loss. The Irmingard sailed recently from Valparaiso. She was of 2,700 tons regis-

tered.

Bremen, June 27.—A wireless des-

patch from the North German Lloyd steamer Koenigin Louise, dated yesterday, reports that she had broken her water propeller and was proceeding by her starboard propeller at a speed of eight or nine knots. The Koenigin Louise was at sea in latitude 42.23, longitude 44.49.

Riga, Russia, via Tilsit, East Prus-

sia, June 27.—Reports are pouring into Riga today in anticipation of the czar's visit in mid-July at the unveiling of the monument to Peter the Great. As a precautionary measure, the authorities are keeping the exact date of the czar's visit a secret. The preparations to guard the czar are more elaborate than usual owing to the members of the republican party who the newspapers are pleased to call "insurgents."

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he

replied to the first question. "We did," and he emphasized the affirmation.

"We talk of go into details?" he